	monwealth of Mas	
	STATE ELECTION	J
SAMPLE BALLOT	OFFICIAL	= CONCORD
	EARLY / ABSENTEE	PCTS. 1, 2, 5
Secretary of The	BALLOT	
Commonwealth of Massachusetts Tu	<mark>lesday, November 5, 20</mark>	24
		382
-		name. To vote for a person not on the
ballot, write the person's name and re	sidence in the blank space provided	and fill in the oval.
ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	REGISTER OF DEEDS	QUESTION 3
Vote for ONE	MIDDLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE	LAW PROPOSED BY
AYYADURAI and ELLIS +++++++ Independent	MARIA C. CURTATONE 37 Munroe St., Somerville Candidate for Re-election	Do you approve of a law summarized below, on
DE LA CRUZ and GARCIA + Socialism and Liberation	WILLIAM "BILLY" TAURO +++++++ Independent 333 Great River Rd., Somerville	which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?
HARRIS and WALZ ++++++++++++++++ Democratic	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	SUMMARY
OLIVER and TER MAAT ++++++++Libertarian	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	The proposed law would provide Transportation Network Drivers ("Drivers") with the right to form
STEIN and CABALLERO-ROCA + Green-Rainbow Party		unions ("Driver Organizations") to collectively bargain with Transportation Network Companies
TRUMP and VANCE +++++++Republican		("Companies")-which are companies that use a digital network to connect riders to drivers for pre-arranged
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	QUESTION 1 Law proposed by initiative	transportation-to create negotiated recommendations concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions
0	PETITION	of work. Drivers would not be required to engage in any union activities. Companies would be allowed to form
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of	multi-Company associations to represent them when
	Representatives before May 1, 2024? SUMMARY	negotiating with Driver Organizations. The state would supervise the labor activities permitted by the proposed
	This proposed law would specify that the State	law and would have responsibility for approving or disapproving the negotiated recommendations.
SENATOR IN CONGRESS	Auditor has the authority to audit the Legislature. A YES VOTE would specify that the State Auditor	The proposed law would define certain activities by a Company or a Driver Organization to be unfair
Vote for ONE ELIZABETH ANN WARREN +++++++++ Democratic	has the authority to audit the Legislature.	work practices. The proposed law would establish a hearing process for the state Employment Relations
24 Linnaean St., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election	A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the State Auditor's authority.	Board ("Board") to follow when a Company or Driver Organization is charged with an unfair work practice.
8 Mohill Ave., Swansea OD NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	YES O	action including awarding compensation to adversely
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	<u> </u>	affected Drivers, if it found that an unfair work practice had been committed. The proposed law would provide
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	QUESTION 2	for an appeal of a Board decision to the state Appeals Court.
	LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE	This proposed law also would establish a procedure for determining which Drivers are Active
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on	Drivers, meaning that they completed more than the
THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE	which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?	median number of rides in the previous six months. The proposed law would establish procedures for
LORI LOUREIRO TRAHAN ++++++++ Democratic Output 9 Weetamoo Way, Westford Candidate for Re-election Output	SUMMARY	the Board to determine that a Driver Organization has signed authorizations from at least five percent of
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	This proposed law would eliminate the requirement that a student pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive	Active Drivers, entitling the Driver Organization to a list of Active Drivers; to designate a Driver Organization as
	Assessment System (MCAS) tests (or other statewide or district-wide assessments) in mathematics, science	the exclusive bargaining representative for all Drivers based on signed authorizations from at least twenty-
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	and technology, and English in order to receive a high	five percent of Active Drivers; to resolve disputes over exclusive bargaining status, including through
	school diploma. Instead, in order for a student to receive a high school diploma, the proposed law would	elections; and to decertify a Driver Organization from exclusive bargaining status. A Driver Organization
	require the student to complete coursework certified by the student's district as demonstrating mastery of the	that has been designated the exclusive bargaining representative would have the exclusive right to
THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE MARA DOLAN ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	competencies contained in the state academic standards in mathematics, science and technology, and English, as	represent the Drivers and to receive voluntary membership dues deductions.
1538 Main St., Concord OD NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	well as any additional areas determined by the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education.	Once the Board determined that a Driver Organization was the exclusive bargaining
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	A YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that	representative for all Drivers, the Companies would
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	students pass the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) in order to graduate	be required to bargain with that Driver Organization concerning wages, benefits and terms and conditions
	high school but still require students to complete coursework that meets state standards.	of work. Once the Driver Organization and Companies reached agreement on wages, benefits, and the
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT	A NO VOTE would make no change in the law	terms and conditions of work, that agreement would be voted upon by all Drivers who has completed at
THIRD MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE	relative to the requirement that a student pass the MCAS in order to graduate high school.	least 100 trips the previous quarter. If approved by a majority of votes cast, the recommendations would be
Augustus Rd., Lexington ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	YES \bigcirc	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. Use blank line below for write-in.	NO \bigcirc	

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

 \bigcirc

REPRESENTATIVE IN		
FOURTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT	Vote for ONE	
SIMON J. CATALDO +++ 40 Hosmer Rd., Concord	+ + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic Candidate for Re-election	\bigcirc
DO NOT VOTE IN USE BLANK LINE BELO		
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	\bigcirc
CLERK OF COURT	S Vote for ONE	
MIDLESEX COUNTY MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN	Vote for ONE	0
MIDDLESEX COUNTY	Vote for ONE	0

USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

forth in the proposed law, including whether the wages of Drivers would be enough so that Drivers would not need to rely upon any public benefits. The proposed law also sets out procedures for the Secretary of Labor's review and approval of recommendations negotiated by a Driver Organization and the Companies and for judicial review of the Secretary's decision.

mediation and arbitration if the Driver Organization and Companies failed to reach agreement within a certain

period of time. An arbitrator would consider factors set

The proposed law states that neither its provisions, an agreement nor a determination by the Secretary would be able to lessen labor standards established by other laws. If there were any conflict between the proposed law and existing Massachusetts labor relations law, the proposed law would prevail.

The Board would make rules and regulations as appropriate to effectuate the proposed law.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would provide transportation network drivers the option to form unions to collectively bargain with transportation network companies regarding wages, benefits, and terms and conditions of work.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the law relative to the ability of transportation network drivers to form unions.

> YES \bigcirc $NO \bigcirc$

CONTINUE ON BACK



QUESTION 4 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow persons aged 21 and older to grow, possess, and use certain natural psychedelic substances in certain circumstances. The psychedelic substances allowed would be two substances found in mushrooms (psilocybin and psilocyn) and three substances found in plants (dimethyltryptamine, mescaline, and ibogaine). These substances could be purchased at an approved location for use under the supervision of a licensed facilitator. This proposed law would otherwise prohibit any retail sale of natural psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would license and regulate facilities offering supervised use of these psychedelic substances and provide for the taxation of proceeds from those facilities' sales of psychedelic substances. It would also allow persons aged 21 and older to grow these psychedelic substances in a 12-foot by 12-foot area at their home and use these psychedelic substances at their home. This proposed law would authorize persons aged 21 or older to possess up to one gram of psilocybin, one gram of psilocyn, one gram of dimethyltryptamine, 18 grams of mescaline, and 30 grams of ibogaine ("personal use amount"), in addition to whatever they might grow at their home, and to give away up to the personal use amount to a person aged 21 or over.

This proposed law would create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Commission of five members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would administer the law governing the use and distribution of these psychedelic substances. The Commission would adopt regulations governing licensing qualifications, security, recordkeeping, education and training, health and safety requirements, testing, and age verification. This proposed law would also create a Natural Psychedelic Substances Advisory Board of 20 members appointed by the Governor, Attorney General, and Treasurer which would study and make recommendations to the Commission on the regulation and taxation of these psychedelic substances.

This proposed law would allow cities and towns to reasonably restrict the time, place, and manner of the operation of licensed facilities offering psychedelic substances, but cities and towns could not ban those facilities or their provision of these substances.

The proceeds of sales of psychedelic substances at licensed facilities would be subject to the state sales tax and an additional excise tax of 15 percent. In addition, a city or town could impose a separate tax of up to two percent. Revenue received from the additional state excise tax, license application fees, and civil penalties for violations of this proposed law would be deposited in a Natural Psychedelic Substances Regulation Fund and would be used, subject to appropriation, for administration of this proposed law.

Using the psychedelic substances as permitted by this proposed law could not be a basis to deny a person medical care or public assistance, impose discipline by a professional licensing board, or enter adverse orders in child custody cases absent clear and convincing evidence that the activities created an unreasonable danger to the safety of a minor child.

This proposed law would not affect existing laws regarding the operation of motor vehicles while under the influence, or the ability of employers to enforce workplace policies restricting the consumption of these psychedelic substances by employees. This proposed law would allow property owners to prohibit the use, display, growing, processing, or sale of these psychedelic substances on their premises. State and local governments could continue to restrict the possession and use of these psychedelic substances in public buildings or at schools.

This proposed law would take effect on December 15, 2024.

A YES VOTE would allow persons over age 21 to use certain natural psychedelic substances under licensed supervision and to grow and possess limited	YES	\bigcirc
quantities of those substances in their home, and would create a commission to regulate those substances. A NO VOTE would make no change in the law regarding natural psychedelic substances.		\bigcirc

QUESTION 5 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 1, 2024?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would gradually increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped worker, over the course of five years, on the following schedule:

- To 64% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2025;
- To 73% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2026;
- To 82% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2027;
- To 91% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2028; and
- To 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

The proposed law would require employers to continue to pay tipped workers the difference between the state minimum wage and the total amount a tipped worker receives in hourly wages plus tips through the end of 2028. The proposed law would also permit employers to calculate this difference over the entire weekly or bi-weekly payroll period. The requirement to pay this difference would cease when the required hourly wage for tipped workers would become 100% of the state minimum wage on January 1, 2029.

Under the proposed law, if an employer pays its workers an hourly wage that is at least the state minimum wage, the employer would be permitted to administer a "tip pool" that combines all the tips given by customers to tipped workers and distributes them among all the workers, including non-tipped workers.

A YES VOTE would increase the minimum hourly wage an employer must pay a tipped worker to the full state minimum wage implemented over five years,

at which point employers could pool all tips and distribute them to all non-management workers.

					5	120	<u> </u>	~
A NO	VOTE	would make	no chang	je in the law g	governing tip pooling or the minimum wage for tipped workers.	NO	\sim	\supset

YFS

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING

